# Minimum Ellipsoids 

By Donald D. Fisher

1. Introduction. A well-known statistical problem is to determine an ellipsoid $R_{s}{ }^{e}$ in $E_{n}$ which contains a certain fraction of the points from a set $S$. Here we use the word "contains" to mean that a point $p_{i} \in S$ is either in the interior or on the surface of the ellipsoid $R_{S}{ }^{e}$. Although the determination of $R_{S}{ }^{e}$ is easy computationally, the determination of the ellipsoid of minimum volume, say, which contains all the points of $S$ is quite difficult. In this note we give a method for determining ellipsoids satisfying a certain minimum property and compare these with ones obtained by statistical methods.
2. Statistical Test Region. If the points of $S$ tend to be correlated, an ellipsoid is an appropriate regular test region. One statistical test region is set up by making use of the $F$-distribution and multivariate analysis. We assume that $S$ has a multivariate normal distribution with an unknown mean $\mu$ which we estimate by taking the mean of the points in $S$. Furthermore, we assume the unknown covariance matrix associated with $S$ may be estimated by the (symmetric) matrix

$$
\delta=\frac{1}{N-1}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} & \sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right) & \sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(z_{i}-\bar{z}\right) & \cdots  \tag{2.1}\\
\cdot & \sum\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2} & \sum\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)\left(z_{i}-\bar{z}\right) & \cdots \\
\cdot & \cdot & \sum\left(z_{i}-\bar{z}\right)^{2} & \cdots \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot &
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $N$ is the number of points in $S$ [3].
We assume a point $q$ of unknown lineage has the same distribution as the points $p_{i} \in S$. Let $\alpha$ be the fraction of allowed false positives, i.e., the allowed fraction of unknowns which do not lie in $R_{s}{ }^{e}$, but by some other test are found to be a member of $S$. The unknown point $q$ belongs to $S$ with probability $1-\alpha$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q-\bar{w})^{T} S^{-1}(q-\bar{w}) \leqq \frac{N_{2}\left(N_{1}+1\right)\left(N_{1}-1\right)}{N_{1}\left(N_{1}-N_{2}\right)} F_{N_{2}, N_{1}-N_{2}}^{\alpha} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{1}, N_{2}$ are the number of degrees of freedom of the denominator and numerator, respectively, associated with the $F$-distribution and $\bar{w}$ is the computed mean of the points $p_{\imath} \in S$. Inequality (2.2) derives from Hotelling's $T^{2}$ statistic in multivariate analysis [1]. Geometrically, (2.2) defines the interior and boundary of an ellipsoid with the mean $\bar{w}$ as center. For fixed $N_{1}, N_{2}, F_{N_{2}, N_{1}-N_{2}}^{\alpha}$ increases as $\alpha$ decreases, consequently, the size of the ellipsoid increases as $\alpha$ decreases. For a given $F$ the volume of $R_{s}{ }^{e}$ is
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$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{S}^{e}=\pi\left\{N_{2}\left(N_{1}+1\right)\left(N_{1}-1\right) F_{N_{2}, N_{1}-N_{2}}^{\alpha}\left[N_{1}\left(N_{1}-N_{2}\right) \prod_{j} \lambda_{j}\right]^{-1}\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

In $E_{3}$ the eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ may be computed directly by trigonometric relations [6]. In general a certain number of points from $S$ will lie outside the above ellipsoid. As $F$ increases more and more points of $S$ will be contained in $R_{S}{ }^{e}$.
3. "Minimum" Ellipsoid Region. C. Loewner has proved that there is a unique ellipsoid of minimum volume which contains all points of $S$. The problem may be stated as a minimum problem, i.e., given $p_{i} \in S$ with mean $\bar{w}$, determine the matrix $J$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left\{J^{-1}\right\} \equiv \prod_{j} \lambda_{j} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a minimum subject to the constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{i}-\bar{w}\right)^{T} \Im\left(p_{i}-\bar{w}\right) \leqq 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formulation possesses two disadvantages [4]: (i) The volume becomes insensitive to change in all $\lambda_{j}$ if any $\lambda_{l} \rightarrow 0$; (ii) $\operatorname{det}\left\{5^{-1}\right\}$ is not a convex function of $J$ and consequently the numerical computations for the minimum are difficult to perform.

The problem may be recast in a form which avoids these difficulties. We determine the matrix $J$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(J) \equiv \operatorname{trace}\left\{J^{-1}\right\}=\sum\left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}=\sum r_{j}{ }^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a minimum subject to the constraints

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p_{i}-\bar{w}\right)^{r} J\left(p_{i}-\bar{w}\right) & \leqq 1 \\
t_{i i} & \geqq \sum_{j \neq i}\left|t_{i j}\right| \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{j}$ is the length of the $j$ th semiaxis and $t_{i j}$ is the $i, j$ element of $J$. In [4] it is shown that trace $\left\{J^{-1}\right\}$ is a strictly convex function of $J$ and that $J^{-1}$ is a convex function of 5 . This measure of size is unique and, furthermore, there is a method (gradient projection (GP) method) for determining the associated ellipsoid $R_{s}{ }^{v}$ numerically [5], [7].

Let $J^{-1}=\mathcal{U}=\left(u_{i j}\right)$. The gradient of $\varphi(J)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t_{i j}}=-2 u_{i}^{T} u_{j}, \quad i \neq j  \tag{3.5}\\
& \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t_{i i}}=-u_{i}^{T} u_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{j}$ is the $j$ th column of $\mathcal{U}$.
4. Numerical Results. The ellipsoids $R_{S}{ }^{e}$ and $R_{S}{ }^{v}$ (indicated in the tables by $R_{S^{k}}^{v}$ and $\left.R_{S^{k}}^{e}, k=1, \cdots, 11\right)$ were determined for 11 sets of points in $E_{3}$ with 150 points in each set (denoted by $S_{150}^{k}$ ) and for 11 subsets of the above sets with 25 points in each subset (denoted by $S_{25}^{k}$ ). The data originated from vectorcardiographic studies [2] of subjects which had been assigned to specific sets based on
independent tests. The ellipsoids $R_{S}{ }^{v}$ were determined by 6 variables and either 153 constraints or 28 constraints, respectively. Most of the constraints turn out to be inactive since a unique ellipsoid in $E_{3}$ is determined by 3 "independent" points.

The relation of the size of $R_{s}{ }^{e}$ to the size of $R_{s}{ }^{v}$ highlights a characteristic of the $F$ test. The larger the sample size the better the estimate of the various statistical quantities, hence the sharper the $F$ test. For a sample size of 25 the $F$ test allows for considerably more scatter than for a sample size of 150 . Note that $R_{S}{ }^{v}$ contains all points of $S$ whereas, by assumption, $R_{S}{ }^{e}$ contains only the fraction $1-\alpha$ of the points of $S$. The actual number of points exterior to $R_{S}{ }^{e}$ is given in Table 4. Comparisons in Tables 1, $\cdots, 4$ are based on an $F$ value for $\alpha=0.05$. For the smaller sample size both the volume and sum of squares of the semiaxes for $R_{s}{ }^{v}$ are smaller

Table 1

| $k$ | $S_{25}^{k}$ |  | $S_{150}^{k}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $R_{S k}^{v}$ | $R_{S^{k}}^{e}, \alpha=0.05$ | $R_{S k}^{v}$ | $R_{S^{k}}^{e}, \alpha=0.05$ |
| 1 | 1.36 | 2.46 | 1.52 | 2.37 |
| 2 | 1.78 | 2.03 | 2.23 | 2.14 |
| 3 | 2.49 | 2.37 | 3.31 | 2.02 |
| 4 | 2.70 | 1.83 | 2.59 | 2.09 |
| 5 | 1.31 | 1.74 | 1.82 | 2.09 |
| 6 | 1.84 | 1.57 | 1.60 | 1.64 |
| 7 | 1.35 | 1.52 | 1.50 | 1.32 |
| 8 | 1.68 | 1.53 | 1.31 | 1.40 |
| 9 | 1.64 | 2.43 | 1.84 | 1.71 |
| 10 | 1.64 | 2.13 | 1.58 | 1.66 |
| 11 | 2.52 | 3.07 | 1.72 | 2.04 |

$\prod_{i}^{\text {Table }} r_{i}^{k}$

| $k$ | $S_{25}^{k}$ |  | $S_{150}^{k}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $R_{S k}^{v}$ | $R_{s^{k}}^{e}, \alpha=0.05$ | $R_{S}{ }^{v}$ | $R_{S^{k}}^{e}, \alpha=0.05$ |
| 1 | 0.00225 | 0.00199 | 0.00212 | 0.00088 |
| 2 | 0.00864 | 0.01575 | 0.02220 | 0.00957 |
| 3 | 0.03387 | 0.04217 | 0.09718 | 0.03374 |
| 4 | 0.06468 | 0.07890 | 0.16194 | 0.07966 |
| 5 | 0.38915 | 0.52527 | 0.91020 | 0.59686 |
| 6 | 0.78645 | 1.37867 | 1.66261 | 1.22425 |
| 7 | 0.92816 | 1.64883 | 2.56710 | 1.89610 |
| 8 | 1.46047 | 2.40659 | 3.76361 | 2.52306 |
| 9 | 0.61088 | 0.98923 | 3.61434 | 1.47622 |
| 10 | 0.30282 | 0.51189 | 2.49975 | 0.81512 |
| 11 | 0.08671 | 0.20482 | 1.59846 | 0.47684 |

Table 3
$\sum_{i}\left(r_{i}{ }^{k}\right)^{2}$

| $k$ | $S_{25}^{k}$ |  | $S_{150}^{k}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $R_{S^{k}}^{v}$ | $R_{S^{k}}^{e}, \alpha=0.05$ | $R_{S^{k}}^{v}$ | $R_{S k}^{e}, \alpha=0.05$ |
| 1 | 0.05347 | 0.06169 | 0.05248 | 0.03516 |
| 2 | 0.14026 | 0.22163 | 0.29139 | 0.16590 |
| 3 | 0.41744 | 0.45623 | 0.96584 | 0.36674 |
| 4 | 0.65938 | 0.61913 | 1.16601 | 0.65657 |
| 5 | 1.64423 | 2.17246 | 3.15794 | 2.51408 |
| 6 | 2.88333 | 3.96950 | 4.52434 | 3.72471 |
| 7 | 2.94184 | 4.43341 | 5.94049 | 4.71656 |
| 8 | 4.21288 | 5.73125 | 7.45132 | 5.76568 |
| 9 | 2.34140 | 3.78881 | 7.96869 | 4.27550 |
| 10 | 1.46843 | 2.29726 | 5.93542 | 2.84975 |
| 11 | 0.75918 | 1.48931 | 4.51029 | 2.14763 |

Table 4
Number of Points Exterior to $R_{s}{ }^{e}$

| $k$ | $S_{25}^{k}$ | $S_{150}^{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 10 |
| 2 | 0 | 6 |
| 3 | 1 | 8 |
| 4 | 1 | 12 |
| 5 | 0 | 6 |
| 6 | 0 | 8 |
| 7 | 0 | 5 |
| 8 | 0 | 9 |
| 9 | 1 | 11 |
| 10 | 0 | 9 |
| 11 | 0 | 10 |

in most cases than those for $R_{s}{ }^{e}$. However, the volume and sum of squares of the semiaxes for $R_{s}{ }^{v}$ are greater than those for $R_{s}{ }^{e}$ for the larger sample size.

Table 1 summarizes the ratios of the maximum semiaxis $r_{\text {max }}$ to the minimum semiaxis $r_{\text {min }}$. Table 2 gives the volumes (apart from a multiplicative constant) of the ellipsoids and Table 3 gives the sum of squares of the semiaxes. Note that even though the volume of $R_{s}{ }^{v}$ is greater than the corresponding volume $R_{s}{ }^{e}$ for the larger sample, the ratio $r_{\text {max }} / r_{\text {min }}$ for $R_{s}{ }^{v}$ is not always greater than the ratio $r_{\text {max }} / r_{\text {min }}$ for $R_{s}{ }^{e}$. This is accounted for by the fact that $R_{s}{ }^{v}$ must orient itself differently from $R_{s}{ }^{e}$ in order to include an extreme point, whereas an extreme point does not influence $R_{s}{ }^{e}$ significantly.

GP computing time on the 7090 for 8 cases with 6 bounds and 28 active constraints was 0.8 minutes. For 12 cases with 6 bounds and 153 active constraints the total 7090 time was 2.7 minutes.
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